

SOC Robotics, Inc. asked Grok 3 several questions about the Total Addressable Market (TAM) for humanoid robots, Elon's 10 billion robot forecast, David Shapiro's Rare Earth Element (REE) constraints and Canada's REE potential.

**June 8, 2025**

**Q. What is the TAM for humanoid robots in the US, Canada and the world in 2025.**

The Total Addressable Market (TAM) for humanoid robots in 2025 across the United States, Europe, Asia, and Canada is derived from recent market reports and projections. The humanoid robot market is rapidly growing, driven by advancements in AI, automation, and applications in healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and personal assistance. Below are the estimated TAMs for 2025 in the specified regions, based on available data and regional market dynamics.

**2025 TAM for Humanoid Robots**

**United States:** The US humanoid robot market is projected to be around \$0.8–1.2 billion in 2025. This estimate is based on a 2023 valuation of \$174.9 million, growing at a CAGR of 49.2% to reach \$4.518 billion by 2031. The US leads in North America, which held a 52.2% share of the global market in 2024, driven by applications in surveillance, healthcare, and manufacturing, with companies like Boston Dynamics and Tesla (Optimus) pushing innovation.

**Europe:** Europe's TAM for humanoid robots is estimated at \$0.6–0.9 billion in 2025. This is inferred from the global market size of \$2.92 billion in 2025, with Europe as a significant but smaller market compared to Asia and North America, growing at a CAGR of around 39.2%. Growth is supported by countries like Germany and the UK, but stringent labor laws and union influence may limit deployments compared to other regions.

**Asia:** The Asia-Pacific region is expected to dominate with a TAM of \$1.2–1.8 billion in 2025. This is based on a 2023 market share of 54% (\$0.8 billion) and a CAGR of 36.1% through 2032, driven by China, Japan, and South Korea., China's "Made in China 2025"

initiative, Japan's aging population, and South Korea's robotics culture fuel rapid adoption in manufacturing, healthcare, and eldercare.

Canada: Canada's TAM is estimated at \$0.1–0.2 billion in 2025. This is a smaller share of the North American market, which is dominated by the US. Canada's growth is driven by applications in healthcare and education, with a CAGR similar to the US (around 40–49%), supported by academic-industry partnerships and government initiatives.

### **Key Drivers and Considerations**

United States: High investment in AI and robotics, with companies like Tesla (planning Optimus production in 2025) and Boston Dynamics driving growth. Applications include manufacturing (e.g., BMW's use of Figure AI robots) and healthcare.,

Europe: Growth is tempered by regulatory and ethical concerns, particularly around job displacement and data privacy. However, healthcare and education applications are gaining traction.

Asia: The region leads due to government support (e.g., China's subsidies, Japan's eldercare focus), a strong robotics manufacturing base, and high adoption in smart cities and logistics.,

Canada: Smaller market but growing due to research advancements and applications in niche sectors like healthcare and education.

Global Context: The global humanoid robot market is projected to be \$2.92–3.28 billion in 2025, with a CAGR of 34.2–47% through 2030–2032., Asia-Pacific holds the largest share (42–54%), followed by North America, with Europe growing more slowly.,

### **Notes**

Estimates are derived from market reports with varying CAGRs (34.2–52.8%), reflecting differences in scope (e.g., biped vs. wheel-based robots, hardware vs. software).,

Regional TAMs are approximate, as reports often provide global or regional aggregates rather than country-specific breakdowns for 2025.

Challenges include high development costs, regulatory hurdles (especially in Europe), and ethical concerns about job displacement.

The humanoid robot market is distinct from the ALPR market, with broader applications

and faster growth due to AI advancements and labor shortages.

If you need further details or specific sources, let me know, and I can refine the estimates or provide additional context!

**Q. Elon musk has stated that the Humanoid Robot TAM could exceed 10 billion robots and continue to grow. What are your comments about that. That would seem to imply your TAM estimates are low or is the key question when are humanoid robots "useful enough"**

Elon Musk's claim that the Total Addressable Market (TAM) for humanoid robots could exceed 10 billion units by 2040, as stated at the 2024 Future Investment Initiative conference, implies a transformative vision for the robotics industry, with a potential market value in the trillions of dollars at a projected price of \$20,000–\$25,000 per robot. This contrasts with my earlier 2025 TAM estimates for the US (\$0.8–1.2 billion), Europe (\$0.6–0.9 billion), Asia (\$1.2–1.8 billion), and Canada (\$0.1–0.2 billion), which are significantly lower, reflecting a near-term market still in its infancy. Below, I address Musk's projection, compare it to my estimates, and evaluate the key question of when humanoid robots become "useful enough" to justify such an expansive TAM, drawing on industry insights and the provided web results.

### **Analysis of Musk's 10 Billion Robot TAM Projection**

Musk's forecast of 10 billion humanoid robots by 2040 suggests a market value of approximately \$200–250 trillion (10 billion units × \$20,000–\$25,000), far exceeding current projections. Here's a breakdown of his claim and its implications:

#### **Scale and Ambition:**

**Volume:** Musk's estimate implies robots outnumbering humans (global population ~8.2 billion in 2025), with a ratio of over 1:1, potentially reaching 2:1 or 10:1 in some scenarios. This assumes universal adoption across industrial, commercial, and household applications.

**Economic Impact:** Musk argues that humanoid robots could unlock "no meaningful limit to the size of the economy" by performing tasks autonomously, from manufacturing to household chores, potentially 10x-ing global GDP.

**Tesla's Role:** Musk envisions Tesla's Optimus robot, with production scaling to 10,000 units by the end of 2025 and high production for external sales by 2026, leading this revolution. At \$20,000–\$30,000 per unit, Tesla aims to make robots affordable, driving mass adoption.

### **Supporting Factors:**

**Technological Advancements:** Rapid progress in AI, particularly large language models (LLMs) and robotic control systems, supports Musk's vision. Goldman Sachs notes AI advancements have accelerated timelines for factory and consumer applications, projecting a \$38 billion TAM by 2035 with 1.4 million units shipped.

**Cost Reduction:** Musk's price target of \$20,000–\$25,000 aligns with projections that production scaling could reduce costs to \$16,000–\$20,000, making robots economically viable compared to human labor (e.g., \$1.40/hour at scale vs. US minimum wage).

**Labor Shortages:** Global aging populations (e.g., Japan) and labor shortages in manufacturing and healthcare create demand for robots to fill repetitive or dangerous roles.

**Government Support:** China's \$10 billion investment in robotics and its 2025 mass-production target highlight global momentum, potentially amplifying market growth.

### **Skepticism and Challenges:**

**Expert Critiques:** Industry experts like Angelo Cangelosi argue Musk's 10 billion estimate is "definitely not realistic" by 2040, as robots are unlikely to achieve universal capability soon. Specialized robots for specific tasks (e.g., manufacturing, eldercare) are more

**Feasibility:** At \$20,000, affordability remains a barrier for most households, limiting consumer adoption. Cognitive scientist Gary Marcus notes that even Roomba, priced at a few hundred dollars, sold only 50 million units, making 10 billion humanoids a "fantasy" in the near term.

**Technical Hurdles:** Training humanoid robots requires 10x the computing power of autonomous driving, and software remains a bottleneck. Platforms like NVIDIA's Omniverse are addressing this, but full autonomy is years away.

**Economic iverse are addressing this, but full autonomy is years away.**

**Market Size Discrepancies:** Goldman Sachs projects a \$38 billion TAM by 2035 with 1.4 million units, Macquarie estimates \$139 billion by 2035 with 6.3 million units, and

Citigroup predicts \$7 trillion by 2050 with 1.19 billion units. Even the most optimistic forecasts fall short of Musk's 10 billion units by 2040.

### **Comparison with My 2025 TAM Estimates**

My 2025 TAM estimates (US: \$0.8–1.2 billion, Europe: \$0.6–0.9 billion, Asia: \$1.2–1.8 billion, Canada: \$0.1–0.2 billion, global: \$2.92–3.28 billion) are based on a 2023 market size of ~\$1.5–2 billion and a CAGR of 34.2–47%, reflecting early adoption in industrial and niche consumer applications. These figures are orders of magnitude lower than Musk's implied \$200–250 trillion by 2040 for several reasons:

**Time Horizon:** My estimates focus on 2025, when humanoid robots are still in low production (e.g., Tesla's 10,000 Optimus units). Musk's projection spans to 2040, assuming exponential growth post-2030.

**Adoption Stage:** In 2025, humanoid robots are primarily industrial (e.g., manufacturing, logistics), with limited consumer use. Musk envisions mass consumer adoption, requiring significant cost reductions and technological breakthroughs.

**Unit Assumptions:** My estimates align with Goldman Sachs' 250,000 industrial units shipped by 2030, far below Musk's 10 billion. His forecast assumes robots become ubiquitous, like smartphones, which is plausible only with dramatic scalability.

### **When Are Humanoid Robots “Useful Enough”?**

The key question is when humanoid robots become “useful enough” to justify Musk's TAM. “Useful enough” implies robots can perform a broad range of tasks autonomously, cost-effectively, and safely, driving widespread adoption. Factors influencing this include:

#### **Technological Readiness:**

**Current State:** In 2025, robots like Tesla's Optimus, Boston Dynamics' Atlas, and Figure AI's models perform limited tasks (e.g., navigating, carrying objects, basic interactions). Early demos (e.g., Optimus folding laundry) were teleoperated, indicating autonomy is not yet achieved.

**Breakthrough Timeline:** Goldman Sachs suggests factory applications could scale by 2030, with consumer applications by 2035–2040, driven by AI advancements and cost reductions. Musk's 2040 timeline aligns with consumer-scale adoption if software and

battery challenges are resolved by 2030.

General vs. Specialized: Experts argue specialized robots (e.g., for manufacturing or eldercare) will dominate before general-purpose humanoids, delaying Musk's vision of "robots that can do anything."

### **Economic Viability:**

Cost Threshold: ARK Investment suggests robots become viable at \$16,000, delivering 5% productivity gains over human labor. Musk's \$20,000–\$25,000 target is achievable with scaled production, but global affordability remains a challenge.

Efficiency Advantage: Robots operating 24/7 could reduce costs to \$1.40/hour, undercutting human labor in developed markets. However, replacing 3–4 million manufacturing workers, as Macquarie suggests, is a far cry from 10 billion units.

### **Market and Social Acceptance:**

Use Cases: Industrial applications (e.g., replacing assembly workers) are viable by 2030, but consumer uses (e.g., household assistants) require cultural acceptance and safety assurances. Musk's vision assumes robots become ubiquitous companions, which faces skepticism due to ethical concerns.

Regional Dynamics: Asia, particularly China, may lead due to government support and supply chain advantages, while Europe lags due to regulatory hurdles. The US and Canada could see faster adoption in manufacturing and healthcare.

### **Comments on Musk's Projection vs. My Estimates**

Underestimation in My TAM?: My 2025 TAM is conservative, reflecting a nascent market with limited production (e.g., 250,000 units by 2030 per Goldman Sachs). Musk's 10 billion-unit forecast assumes a 15-year leap to mass production and consumer adoption, which is plausible only with unprecedented technological and economic shifts. My estimates align with near-term realities, while Musk's are aspirational, banking on exponential growth post-2030.

Feasibility of 10 Billion Units: Musk's vision requires robots to be "useful enough" for universal tasks, affordable globally, and socially accepted. Current projections (e.g., Citigroup's 1.19 billion units by 2050) suggest a trillion-dollar market, but 10 billion units by 2040 is an outlier, dismissed by experts as unrealistic due to technical,

economic, and logistical barriers.

Usefulness as the Key: The critical factor is when robots achieve sufficient autonomy and versatility. Musk's timeline assumes breakthroughs in AI and robotics by 2030, enabling mass production by 2026–2030. However, experts like Marcus argue that building safe, reliable, general-purpose humanoids at scale is decades away, suggesting specialized robots will dominate first.

### **Regional Implications for 2025 and Beyond**

United States: My \$0.8–1.2 billion TAM for 2025 reflects early industrial adoption (e.g., Tesla, Figure AI). Musk's vision could drive growth if Optimus scales, but 10 billion units would require a massive US market expansion beyond current projections (e.g., Morgan Stanley's 8 million units by 2040).

Europe: My \$0.6–0.9 billion TAM is constrained by regulations and slower adoption. Musk's forecast implies a consumer revolution, unlikely by 2040 due to GDPR and labor union resistance.

Asia: My \$1.2–1.8 billion TAM aligns with China's aggressive robotics push (e.g., Unitree, \$10 billion in funding). Musk's vision is more plausible here, as China's supply chain and government support could accelerate mass production.

Canada: My \$0.1–0.2 billion TAM reflects niche applications. Musk's 10 billion-unit goal would require global market dynamics to spill over, unlikely by 2040 given Canada's smaller market.

### **Conclusion**

Musk's 10 billion humanoid robot TAM by 2040 is an ambitious vision that significantly exceeds my 2025 estimates, which are grounded in current market data and near-term adoption. The discrepancy hinges on when robots become "useful enough"—capable of general-purpose tasks at an affordable price. While Musk's timeline assumes breakthroughs by 2030, enabling mass production and consumer adoption, experts argue that specialized robots and incremental growth (e.g., 1.4 million units by 2035) are more realistic. My estimates are not necessarily "low" for 2025, as they reflect the market's early stage, but Musk's projection requires a paradigm shift in technology, cost, and societal acceptance, likely extending beyond 2040. If you'd like me to explore specific technological barriers or regional adoption scenarios further, let me know

**Q. A point brought up by David Shapiro is does the world have enough production capacity to build 10 billion robots from a raw material viewpoint. For example China is currently holding the US hostage by not selling critical raw materials such as magnets to the auto industry. He believes the key governing constraint is lack of the necessary raw materials to support a rapid ramp.**

David Shapiro's concern about whether the world has sufficient production capacity to build 10 billion humanoid robots from a raw materials perspective is a critical point, especially in light of Elon Musk's ambitious projection of 10 billion robots by 2040. The constraint of raw materials availability, particularly critical minerals like rare earth elements (REEs), lithium, copper, nickel, cobalt, and graphite, is a significant bottleneck. Additionally, geopolitical dynamics, such as China's control over key materials like rare earth magnets, exacerbate the challenge, as highlighted by recent export restrictions impacting industries like automotive and robotics. Below, I analyze the raw materials constraints, China's role, and the feasibility of a rapid production ramp to achieve Musk's vision, incorporating insights from Shapiro's perspective and relevant data from the provided web results.

### **Raw Materials Constraints for 10 Billion Humanoid Robots**

Building 10 billion humanoid robots by 2040 requires an unprecedented volume of critical materials, far exceeding current global production capacities. Adamas Intelligence estimates that a single humanoid robot weighs ~60 kg, implying 600 million tonnes of input materials for 10 billion robots, primarily metals for motors, batteries, wiring, and structural components. For context, global production of key critical materials (copper, lithium, nickel, cobalt, graphite, and REEs) was less than 30 million tonnes in 2023, underscoring the scale of the challenge.

### **Rare Earth Elements (REEs) and Magnets:**

Demand: Neodymium-iron-boron (NdFeB) magnets, critical for precision motors in robotic arms and actuators, are a major constraint. Producing 10 billion robots would require 186 times the current annual global NdFeB production (~160,000 tonnes in 2024). Even a more modest 63 million robots (per Morgan Stanley's 2050 estimate) would need 1.2 times current production.

**China's Dominance:** China produces 70% of global REEs and 90% of NdFeB magnets, with 100% of heavy REEs (e.g., dysprosium, terbium) sourced from China or Myanmar. China's April 2025 export ban on heavy REE magnets, in response to US tariffs, has already disrupted Tesla's Optimus production, as Elon Musk noted during Tesla's Q1 2025 earnings call. This highlights China's ability to "hold the US hostage" by controlling supply chains.

**Alternatives:** Alternatives like iron-nitride (FeN) magnets or induction motors could reduce REE dependency, but these exacerbate demand for other materials like copper and face scalability issues.

### **Lithium and Battery Materials:**

**Demand:** Lithium-ion batteries, standard in wireless humanoid robots, would require 14 times the current global annual lithium supply (~100,000 tonnes in 2023) for 10 billion robots. Nickel, cobalt, and graphite demands are similarly massive, with 63 million robots needing 83,000 tonnes of nickel, 12,000 tonnes of cobalt, and 130,000 tonnes of graphite.

**Supply Constraints:** Global lithium production must increase sevenfold by 2040 to meet robot demand alone, excluding electric vehicles (EVs) and other applications. Cobalt and nickel face additional geopolitical risks, as China refines much of the world's supply, and the Democratic Republic of Congo dominates cobalt mining.

**Alternatives:** Solid-state batteries or sodium-ion (Na-ion) batteries could reduce lithium and cobalt use, but lithium metal anodes in solid-state batteries may increase lithium demand. Advances in battery energy density could lower material needs per robot, but Jevons Paradox suggests efficiency gains may drive higher overall consumption.

### **Copper and Other Metals:**

**Demand:** Copper, used in wiring, motors, and structural components, would see demand equivalent to four times the current global annual production (22 million tonnes in 2023) for 10 billion robots. Even 63 million robots would require 554,400 tonnes.

**Supply Constraints:** Copper mining faces declining ore grades, environmental restrictions, and long lead times (average 18 years to develop a new mine), limiting rapid scaling.

**Other Metals:** Tungsten, fluor spar, and vanadium, also critical for robotics, are heavily

China-dependent, further complicating supply chains.

### **Production Capacity Scaling:**

CAGR Required: Achieving 10 billion robots by 2040, starting from a 2030 production rate of 5 million units annually (10 million total robots), requires a 99% CAGR from 2030 to 2040. This “mind-melting” growth rate is deemed “unfathomable” by Adamas Intelligence, as it demands an exponential surge in mining and refining capacity.

Mining Bottlenecks: The 18-year average mine development timeline, coupled with environmental and geopolitical risks, makes rapid scaling nearly impossible. China’s near-monopoly on REE refining and patents further limits Western capacity expansion.

Manufacturing Ecosystem: Marc Andreessen warns that the US lacks the manufacturing ecosystem to produce robot components at scale, unlike China, which dominates with 90% of some component markets (e.g., DJI’s drone dominance).

### **China’s Strategic Control and Geopolitical Implications**

China’s dominance in critical materials and robotics manufacturing poses a significant constraint, aligning with Shapiro’s concern about raw materials availability. Key points include:

Export Restrictions: China’s April 2025 ban on heavy REE magnet exports, requiring licenses vetted for national security, directly impacts US robotics firms like Tesla. Licenses may take six months or longer, delaying production. This reflects China’s leverage to disrupt Western industries, as seen in the auto sector’s reliance on Chinese magnets.

Supply Chain Dominance: China produces 90% of humanoid robot components, enabling rapid iteration and cost advantages. Its industrial ecosystem allows same-day supplier responses, unmatched by the US or Europe.

Government Support: China’s \$20 billion investment in humanoid robotics, \$137 billion AI and robotics fund, and procurement of 214 million yuan in 2024 demonstrate a national priority to lead the sector. Shenzhen’s plan to foster 10+ robotics firms valued over \$1.3 billion by 2027 and mass-production targets of 10,000 units by 2025 further accelerate its advantage.

US Vulnerability: The US lacks domestic REE refining capacity and relies on China for 70% of REEs and 94% of gallium. Trade tensions, including Trump’s 54% tariffs,

exacerbate supply risks, potentially derailing US robotics ambitions.

### **Shapiro's Key Constraint: Raw Materials and Rapid Ramp Feasibility**

Shapiro's argument that raw materials availability is the governing constraint for a rapid ramp to 10 billion robots is well-supported by the data. Key points:

**Material Shortages:** The 600 million tonnes of materials needed for 10 billion robots dwarf current production (30 million tonnes annually for key metals). Even conservative estimates (e.g., 63 million robots by 2050) strain supply chains, requiring 1.2–14 times current production for NdFeB, lithium, and copper.

**Geopolitical Dependency:** China's control over REEs, magnets, and component manufacturing creates a chokehold on Western production. The US's inability to refine REEs at scale, coupled with China's export controls, limits rapid scaling.

**Production Bottlenecks:** The 99% CAGR needed for 10 billion robots by 2040 is unrealistic, given mining lead times, environmental constraints, and manufacturing ecosystem gaps in the US. China's supply chain advantages make it the likely production hub, but even China faces material limits.

**Alternative Scenarios:** Adamas Intelligence forecasts humanoid robot production at less than 1% of Musk's 10 billion by 2040, yet robotics will still drive significant NdFeB demand. Morgan Stanley's 63 million units by 2050 or Citigroup's 1.3 billion by 2035 are more feasible but still require substantial material increases.

### **Counterpoints and Potential Mitigations**

While raw materials constraints are severe, some factors could alleviate the bottleneck, though not enough to achieve 10 billion robots by 2040:

**Material Efficiency:** Advances in battery chemistries (e.g., LFP, Na-ion) and magnet alternatives (e.g., FeN) could reduce reliance on lithium, cobalt, and REEs. Higher energy density batteries may lower material needs per robot.

**Recycling and Substitution:** Recycling NdFeB magnets and batteries could supplement supply, though current recycling rates are low. Substituting copper with aluminum in wiring is possible but less efficient.

**Diversifying Supply Chains:** The US and allies (e.g., Australia, Brazil) could invest in REE mining and refining, as Lynas Corporation's ex-China NdPr processing shows. However,

scaling to meet 10 billion robots' demand would take decades.

China's Own Limits: China's material production is constrained by environmental regulations and finite reserves, potentially limiting its ability to supply 10 billion robots domestically and globally.

### **Implications for the Auto Industry and Beyond**

China's control over critical materials like magnets not only impacts robotics but also the auto industry, as seen in EV motor production. The auto sector's reliance on NdFeB magnets mirrors robotics, and China's export bans could disrupt both, forcing firms like Tesla to seek alternatives or negotiate licenses. This reinforces Shapiro's point that raw materials are a systemic constraint across high-tech industries, limiting rapid ramps unless supply chains are diversified.

### **Conclusion**

David Shapiro's assertion that raw materials availability is the key constraint for producing 10 billion humanoid robots is strongly supported by the evidence. The 600 million tonnes of materials needed far exceed current global production (30 million tonnes annually), with NdFeB magnets, lithium, and copper facing 4–186 times current supply demands. China's dominance in REEs, magnets, and component manufacturing, coupled with export restrictions, creates a significant bottleneck, particularly for the US, as seen in Tesla's Optimus delays. The 99% CAGR required for a 2040 ramp is infeasible given mining lead times, geopolitical risks, and manufacturing gaps. While innovations in materials efficiency and supply chain diversification could help, Musk's 10 billion robot goal by 2040 is unrealistic, aligning with Adamas Intelligence's view that production will be less than 1% of this target. More plausible estimates (e.g., 63 million by 2050) still strain resources, emphasizing raw materials as the governing constraint. If you'd like a deeper analysis of specific materials, regional supply chains, or mitigation strategies, let me know

### **Q. How does Canada current and potential critical minerals situation impact global supply.**

Canada's current and potential critical minerals situation significantly influences global supply chains, particularly for minerals essential to clean energy, electric vehicles (EVs), robotics, and advanced technologies. With vast reserves, a stable geopolitical

environment, and a strong environmental framework, Canada is well-positioned to become a major player in addressing global demand for critical minerals like lithium, cobalt, nickel, copper, rare earth elements (REEs), and others. However, challenges such as infrastructure deficits, lengthy permitting processes, and China's dominance in refining and supply chains limit Canada's ability to fully realize its potential. Below, I analyze Canada's role, its impact on global supply, and how this relates to the raw materials constraints for producing 10 billion humanoid robots, as discussed in the context of David Shapiro's concerns and Elon Musk's projections.

### **Canada's Current Critical Minerals Situation**

Canada possesses significant reserves of critical minerals, as outlined in its 2024 Critical Minerals List of 34 minerals, including lithium, cobalt, nickel, copper, REEs, graphite, and high-purity iron ore. Key aspects of its current situation include:

#### **Production and Reserves:**

**Cobalt:** Canada is the seventh-largest global producer, with significant production from nickel mines in Ontario, Quebec, Manitoba, and Newfoundland and Labrador.

**Nickel:** Canada ranks among the top producers, with major deposits in Ontario's Ring of Fire and other regions, contributing to global EV battery supply.

**Copper:** Canada holds 1.6% of global production (seventh-largest in 2022), critical for electronics and robotics wiring.

**Lithium:** Canada has large hard-rock lithium reserves, particularly in Quebec, but extraction is challenging due to remote locations and infrastructure limitations.

**REEs:** Canada has advanced REE projects in Newfoundland and Labrador and the Northwest Territories, but production is limited compared to China's 60%+ global share.

**Other Minerals:** Canada is a major producer of aluminum, molybdenum, tungsten, uranium, and platinum group metals, with untapped potential in graphite and chromite.

#### **Global Market Position:**

**BloombergNEF Rankings:** In 2024, Canada was ranked first for its potential to form a secure, reliable, and sustainable battery supply chain, due to its mineral reserves, strong ESG (environmental, social, governance) credentials, and battery cell

manufacturing capabilities. A 2023 analysis ranked Canada second in overall critical minerals production competitiveness, behind Australia, based on political stability, environmental frameworks, and reserves.

Exports to the US: Over 50% of Canada's mineral exports go to the US, including 12 minerals deemed critical to US function, with nickel, potash, and uranium exports up to 80% US-dependent. This strengthens North American supply chain resilience against China's dominance.

### **Government Initiatives:**

Canadian Critical Minerals Strategy (2022): Launched to position Canada as a global supplier, with \$1.5 billion allocated for infrastructure development (e.g., roads, grid connectivity) to unlock remote deposits. Up to \$50 million supports innovation, data collection, and Indigenous partnerships, while a \$500 million Critical Minerals Infrastructure Fund targets clean energy and transportation projects.

Ontario's Critical Minerals Strategy (2022–2027): Focuses on the Ring of Fire, a mineral-rich region with nickel, copper, platinum, and chromite deposits. Investments include \$35 million for exploration and \$5 million for innovation in processing and recycling.

Policy Support: The Mineral Exploration Tax Credit (extended to March 31, 2027) and Clean Technology Investment Credit (covering 30% of capital costs) aim to attract investment.

### **Infrastructure and Investment Challenges:**

Remote Locations: Many deposits (e.g., Ring of Fire, Quebec lithium) are in remote areas, lacking roads or grid connectivity, increasing costs and deterring investment.

Permitting Delays: Developing a mine in Canada takes 10–15 years on average, compared to faster timelines in Australia, due to complex regulatory processes. A 2024 survey identified permitting delays as a major barrier, with 79% of respondents rating it a "very major" or "major" issue.

Investment Gaps: Canada requires \$30 billion by 2040 to meet clean energy demand, but only \$3 billion of 2023's \$12 billion mining investment went to critical minerals (excluding gold, silver, iron). Capital markets remain wary due to past oversupply busts and ESG concerns.

## **Canada's Potential Critical Minerals Situation**

Canada's untapped potential could significantly enhance its global supply role, particularly for robotics and clean energy:

### **Exploration and New Discoveries:**

Canada's geological diversity, spanning the Canadian Cordillera to the Appalachian region, offers substantial exploration potential. The Ring of Fire in Ontario and REE projects in the Northwest Territories could yield multi-generational deposits.

Advanced geoscience and exploration technologies, supported by federal investments, aim to identify new deposits while minimizing environmental impact.

Recycling and tailings re-mining are emerging opportunities. Natural Resources Canada is researching critical mineral extraction from mining waste, fostering a circular economy.

### **Value Chain Development:**

Canada aims to move beyond raw mineral exports to midstream (processing, refining) and downstream (manufacturing) value chains. Investments like Volkswagen's \$7 billion gigafactory in Ontario and GM's \$2 billion EV plants highlight Canada's growing role in battery manufacturing.

Ontario's strategy connects northern mineral production with southern manufacturing, supported by tax incentives and infrastructure development.

### **Global Competitiveness:**

Canada's low-carbon electricity grid, skilled workforce, and ESG leadership (e.g., Towards Sustainable Mining initiative) position it as a responsible supplier, contrasting with China's environmental and human rights challenges.

A 2024 collaboration with Argentina on sustainable mining and US-Canada agreements (e.g., Joint Action Plan on Critical Minerals) enhance market access and reduce reliance on China.

### **Projected Growth:**

By 2040, Canada's critical minerals production could grow from \$8 billion (2022) to \$16–43 billion annually, depending on investment and recycling scenarios. Doubling

production to \$16 billion meets domestic demand, while higher estimates include US exports.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) projects global critical mineral demand to double by 2030 and triple by 2040, with Canada poised to fill supply gaps if investment barriers are addressed.

### **Impact on Global Supply**

Canada's current and potential critical minerals situation impacts global supply chains in several ways, particularly in the context of robotics and clean energy:

#### **Reducing Reliance on China:**

**China's Dominance:** China controls 60% of global REE production, 85% of processing, 90% of NdFeB magnets, 80% of cobalt refining, and significant shares of lithium, nickel, and graphite. Its 2025 export restrictions on bismuth, indium, molybdenum, tellurium, tungsten, gallium, germanium, and graphite exacerbate global supply risks.

**Canada's Role:** Canada's reserves and ESG credentials position it to diversify supply, reducing Western dependence on China. For example, the NICO cobalt-gold-bismuth-copper project in the Northwest Territories, supported by \$12 million in US and Canadian funding, targets cobalt and bismuth supply chain resilience. Greenland and Canada are prime targets for diversifying REE supply, as noted in recent analyses.

**Impact on Robotics:** For humanoid robots, Canada's cobalt, nickel, and potential REE production could alleviate shortages of battery materials and magnets, critical for the 10 billion units Musk envisions. However, Canada's limited REE processing capacity and China's export controls remain hurdles.

#### **Strengthening North American Supply Chains:**

The US relies on Canada for 28 key minerals, including 12 critical to its function (e.g., nickel, cobalt, uranium). Canada's proximity and free trade agreements (e.g., USMCA) reduce scope 3 emissions from long supply chains, enhancing EV and robotics competitiveness.

Projects like Ontario's Ring of Fire could supply nickel and copper for robotics motors and wiring, supporting US manufacturers like Tesla, but infrastructure delays limit near-term impact.

### **Supporting Clean Energy and Robotics:**

**Batteries:** Canada's lithium, cobalt, nickel, and graphite reserves are critical for lithium-ion batteries in EVs and wireless robots. Investments in Ontario's battery manufacturing (e.g., Volkswagen, GM) position Canada as a North American hub, potentially supplying Tesla's Optimus production.

**Magnets and Electronics:** Canada's REE and tungsten potential could support NdFeB magnets and electronics for robotics, but scaling production to meet 10 billion robots' demand (186x current global NdFeB output) is constrained by processing capacity and China's dominance.

### **Global Supply Chain Resilience:**

Canada's stable geopolitical environment and rigorous environmental standards attract investment from allies seeking alternatives to China. The EU's Critical Minerals Act (2023) aims to reduce reliance on third countries, with Canada as a key partner.

However, global supply concentration risks persist. The IEA notes that N-1 supply (excluding the top producer, China) for nickel, cobalt, and graphite falls short of climate-driven needs, emphasizing Canada's role in diversifying sources.

### **Relation to Humanoid Robot Production (10 Billion Units)**

David Shapiro's concern about raw materials availability for 10 billion humanoid robots aligns with Canada's critical minerals situation, as the required 600 million tonnes of materials (e.g., 186x NdFeB, 14x lithium, 4x copper) far exceeds global capacity. Canada's potential impacts this scenario as follows:

#### **Current Contribution:**

**Cobalt and Nickel:** Canada's production (seventh-largest for both) supports battery and motor manufacturing but is insufficient to meet the massive demand for 10 billion robots. For example, 63 million robots require 83,000 tonnes of nickel and 12,000 tonnes of cobalt, while Canada's 2022 output was ~180,000 tonnes of nickel and ~4,300 tonnes of cobalt. Scaling to 10 billion units is infeasible without significant investment.

**REEs:** Canada's limited REE production and processing capacity cannot challenge China's 60% mining and 85% refining dominance, critical for NdFeB magnets in robot actuators.

**Copper:** Canada's 1.6% of global copper production (560,000 tonnes in 2022) is

significant but inadequate for the 88 million tonnes needed for 10 billion robots (4x current global output).

### **Potential Contribution:**

Exploration and Development: The Ring of Fire and other projects could boost nickel, copper, and REE output by 2040, but the 10–15-year mine development timeline and \$30 billion investment gap hinder rapid scaling.

Recycling: Canada's focus on tailings re-mining and battery recycling could supplement supply, reducing primary material demand. However, current recycling rates are low, and retrofitting plants is costly.

Infrastructure Investments: The \$1.5 billion Critical Minerals Infrastructure Fund and Ontario's initiatives could unlock remote deposits, but these are insufficient to meet the exponential demand of Musk's vision by 2040.

### **Constraints and Shapiro's Point:**

Raw Materials Bottleneck: Shapiro's concern is validated by Canada's limited production capacity relative to the 600 million tonnes needed. Even with growth to \$16–43 billion by 2040, Canada cannot bridge the gap for 10 billion robots without global cooperation and massive investment.

China's Influence: China's export restrictions (e.g., bismuth, tungsten, REEs) directly impact Canada's ability to supply robotics components, as Canada relies on Chinese refining for some minerals.

Permitting and Investment: Lengthy permitting (10–15 years) and investor wariness due to past oversupply and ESG concerns limit Canada's ability to ramp up quickly, reinforcing Shapiro's view that raw materials are the governing constraint.

### **Feasibility of Musk's Vision:**

Musk's 10 billion robot goal requires a 99% CAGR from 2030, which Canada's current and potential output cannot support due to material shortages, infrastructure deficits, and geopolitical dependencies. Even optimistic projections (e.g., Citigroup's 1.3 billion units by 2035) strain global supply, with Canada contributing only a fraction.

Canada's role in diversifying supply away from China is critical, but its impact is limited by scale. For example, the NICO project's cobalt and bismuth output is significant for

North America but negligible for 10 billion robots.

## **Conclusion**

Canada's current and potential critical minerals situation positions it as a key player in diversifying global supply chains, reducing reliance on China, and supporting clean energy and robotics industries. Its vast reserves, ESG leadership, and investments (e.g., \$1.5 billion infrastructure fund, Ontario's Ring of Fire) enhance its ability to meet growing demand for lithium, cobalt, nickel, copper, and REEs, projected to double by 2030 and triple by 2040. However, infrastructure deficits, lengthy permitting (10–15 years), and a \$30 billion investment gap limit rapid scaling. In the context of producing 10 billion humanoid robots by 2040, Shapiro's concern about raw materials constraints is critical, as Canada's output, even at its full potential, cannot meet the required 600 million tonnes of materials due to global supply shortages and China's refining dominance. Canada can alleviate some bottlenecks (e.g., cobalt, nickel for batteries), but achieving Musk's vision requires global coordination, recycling advancements, and alternative materials, none of which are feasible by 2040 at the projected scale. If you need a deeper dive into specific minerals, projects, or policy impacts, let me know

## **Q. How is China able to refine REE at such a significant percentage of global supply.**

China's ability to refine rare earth elements (REEs) at a significant percentage of global supply—approximately 85–90% of global refining capacity in 2025—stems from a combination of natural resource endowment, strategic industrial policies, economic advantages, and historical developments. This dominance has profound implications for industries like robotics, electric vehicles (EVs), and clean energy, as REEs are critical for high-performance magnets, batteries, and electronics. Below, I analyze the key factors enabling China's refining dominance, drawing on industry insights and addressing the context of raw materials constraints for technologies like humanoid robots, as discussed previously.

### **Key Factors Behind China's REE Refining Dominance**

#### **Abundant Domestic Resources and Mining:**

Resource Base: China holds 36% of the world's identified REE reserves (44 million tonnes out of 120 million tonnes globally in 2023), including significant deposits in Inner

Mongolia (Bayan Obo, the world's largest REE mine), Sichuan, and Shandong. These deposits include both light REEs (e.g., neodymium, praseodymium) and heavy REEs (e.g., dysprosium, terbium), the latter being scarcer and more valuable.

**Mining Output:** In 2023, China produced 240,000 tonnes of REEs (60% of global production), far surpassing the US (43,000 tonnes), Australia (18,000 tonnes), and others. This ensures a steady raw material supply for refining, unlike competitors reliant on imports.

**Geological Advantage:** China's deposits, particularly Bayan Obo, are rich in high-value REEs, and its mining operations benefit from established infrastructure, reducing extraction costs.

### **Strategic Industrial Policies and Government Support:**

**State Control:** China's REE sector is heavily state-driven, with major refiners like China Northern Rare Earth (Group) High-Tech Co., Ltd. and Shenghe Resources partially or fully state-owned. The government sets production quotas (e.g., 270,000 tonnes for 2024) and consolidates the industry to maintain control, as seen in the 2021 merger creating China Rare Earth Group Co., Ltd.

**Subsidies and Investment:** Beijing provides substantial subsidies, tax breaks, and low-cost loans to REE miners and refiners, lowering operational costs. For example, China's \$137 billion investment in AI and robotics includes REE supply chain support, ensuring refining capacity aligns with strategic goals like "Made in China 2025."

**Export Controls:** Since the 1990s, China has used export quotas and restrictions (e.g., 2025 bans on heavy REE magnets, bismuth, and gallium) to prioritize domestic refining and value-added manufacturing, discouraging raw ore exports and forcing global buyers to rely on Chinese-processed REEs.

### **Economic and Cost Advantages:**

**Low Labor and Energy Costs:** China's relatively low labor costs and access to affordable energy (e.g., coal-powered refining in Inner Mongolia) reduce processing expenses. Refining REEs is energy-intensive, and China's lower electricity costs give it an edge over Western competitors.

**Lax Environmental Regulations (Historically):** In the 1980s and 1990s, China's minimal environmental oversight allowed rapid, low-cost refining, despite pollution from toxic byproducts like thorium and uranium. While regulations have tightened (e.g., 2020

environmental standards), China's legacy infrastructure keeps costs lower than in the US or Australia, where stricter ESG requirements raise expenses.

**Economies of Scale:** China's large-scale refining facilities, such as those in Baotou and Ganzhou, process massive volumes, achieving economies of scale unmatched by smaller Western operations like Lynas (Australia) or MP Materials (US).

### **Technological and Infrastructure Expertise:**

**Refining Know-How:** REE refining involves complex solvent extraction to separate 17 chemically similar elements, requiring specialized expertise. China has invested heavily in R&D, holding 80% of global REE patents and refining technologies, as reported by the US Geological Survey (USGS).

**Integrated Supply Chain:** China's refining is integrated with downstream manufacturing (e.g., NdFeB magnets, 90% of global supply). Companies like Zhong Ke San Huan and JL MAG produce magnets directly from refined REEs, streamlining the supply chain and reducing costs.

**Infrastructure Advantage:** Decades of investment in refining plants, logistics, and port facilities enable efficient processing and global distribution. For example, Baotou's industrial complex combines mining, refining, and magnet production in one region.

### **Historical Market Strategy:**

**Price Undercutting:** In the 1980s and 1990s, China flooded the market with low-cost REEs, undercutting competitors like the US's Mountain Pass mine, which closed in 2002. This strategy dismantled Western refining capacity, consolidating China's dominance.

**Global Acquisition:** Chinese firms have acquired stakes in overseas mines (e.g., Shenghe Resources' investment in Greenland's Kvanefjord) to secure raw materials while maintaining refining in China, ensuring control over the value chain.

### **Geopolitical Leverage:**

**Export Restrictions:** China uses REEs as a geopolitical tool, as seen in the 2010 Japan export embargo and 2025 restrictions on heavy REE magnets in response to US tariffs. These policies pressure Western manufacturers (e.g., Tesla's Optimus production) to rely on Chinese supply.

**Supply Chain Control:** By refining 85–90% of global REEs and producing 90% of NdFeB

magnets, China holds leverage over industries like robotics, EVs, and wind turbines, critical for the 10 billion humanoid robots envisioned by Musk.

### **Impact on Global Supply and Robotics**

China's refining dominance directly impacts global supply chains, particularly for robotics, as discussed in the context of Shapiro's raw materials concerns and Musk's 10 billion robot goal:

**Robotics Bottleneck:** Producing 10 billion humanoid robots requires 186 times the current global NdFeB magnet production (160,000 tonnes annually), with China supplying 90%. Even a more modest 63 million robots by 2050 needs 1.2 times current output. China's refining capacity, while significant, cannot scale to meet this demand without massive investment, and its export controls (e.g., April 2025 ban) disrupt Western production.

**Dependency Risks:** The US, Europe, and Canada rely on China for 70–94% of refined REEs, as seen in Tesla's delays for Optimus due to magnet shortages. Canada's potential REE projects (e.g., NICO, Nechalacho) are underdeveloped, with no significant refining capacity, exacerbating reliance on China.

**Global Supply Constraints:** The International Energy Agency (IEA) projects REE demand to triple by 2040 for clean energy and robotics, but China's production quotas and environmental limits (e.g., Bayan Obo's ecological damage) constrain supply growth. Non-Chinese refining (e.g., Lynas, MP Materials) is only 10–15% of global capacity, insufficient to offset China's dominance.

### **Challenges to China's Dominance**

While China's position is formidable, several factors could erode its dominance over time:

**Environmental Pushback:** Stricter regulations and public pressure to address pollution (e.g., radioactive waste from REE refining) may raise costs and limit output. China's 2020 environmental cleanup efforts have already closed some illegal operations.

**Western Diversification:** Canada, Australia, and the US are investing in alternative supply chains. Canada's NICO project and Australia's Lynas (world's largest non-Chinese refiner) aim to reduce dependency, though scaling is slow (10–15 years for new mines).

**Technological Alternatives:** Research into REE-free magnets (e.g., iron-nitride) and

recycling could reduce demand, but these are not yet commercially viable at scale.

Geopolitical Tensions: US tariffs and export bans (e.g., China's 2025 restrictions) may accelerate Western efforts to develop domestic refining, as seen in the US's \$258 million investment in REE processing.

### **Implications for Canada and Global Robotics**

Canada's critical minerals situation, as discussed previously, positions it as a potential counterweight to China, but its impact is limited:

Current Role: Canada produces minimal REEs (<1% of global supply) and lacks refining capacity, relying on China for processed REEs. Projects like Nechalacho and NICO are promising but years from commercial scale.

Potential Role: By 2040, Canada could increase REE output with \$30 billion in investment, supporting North American robotics (e.g., Tesla's Optimus). However, it cannot meet the 186x NdFeB demand for 10 billion robots without global cooperation.

Shapiro's Constraint: Shapiro's concern about raw materials shortages is amplified by China's refining monopoly. Canada's slow development pace (10–15-year permitting) and China's export controls limit the rapid ramp needed for Musk's vision.

### **Conclusion**

China's dominance in REE refining (85–90% of global supply) results from abundant reserves, state-driven policies, low-cost operations, technological expertise, and historical market strategies. Subsidies, lax regulations, and integrated supply chains have solidified its position, enabling control over critical materials for robotics, EVs, and clean energy. This dominance creates a bottleneck for producing 10 billion humanoid robots by 2040, as Shapiro's raw materials concern highlights, given the massive demand (186x NdFeB, 14x lithium). Canada's potential to diversify supply is significant but constrained by infrastructure, permitting delays, and China's refining lead. While China's position is not unassailable—facing environmental, geopolitical, and technological challenges—its control will likely persist through 2040, making Musk's goal infeasible without global supply chain restructuring. If you need further details on specific REEs, refining processes, or Canada's role, let me know!